

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

2:21-CV-022-Z

PLANNED PARENTHOOD  
FEDERATION OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion For Extension of Deadline to File Response to Defendant PPFA's Motion to Stay and Motion For Expedited Consideration ("Motion") (ECF No. 571), and PPFA's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion ("Opposition Motion") (ECF No. 573). Plaintiffs "move this Court for an extension of time to file a response to PPFA's motion to stay" until "December 18, 2023." *Id.* at 2. And PPFA moves the Court to deny the Motion. ECF No. 573 at 2.

Having reviewed the motions and the relevant law, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Motion and **DENIES** PPFA's Opposition Motion. Plaintiffs are thus **ORDERED** to respond, in no more than ten (10) pages, to PPFA's motion to stay (ECF No. 569) **on or before December 18, 2023**. And PPFA is **ORDERED** to reply, in no more than five (5) pages, **on or before January 1, 2024**.

**SO ORDERED.**

November 30, 2023



MATTHEW J. KACSMARYK  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE